# Multitask Learning For Frame-Level Instrument Recognition 

${ }^{1}$ Yun-Ning Hung, ${ }^{2}$ Yi-An Chen and ${ }^{1}$ Yi-Hsuan Yang<br>${ }^{1}$ Research Center for IT innovation, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan<br>${ }^{2}$ KKBOX Inc., Taiwan<br>[Project Website] https://biboamy.github.io/streaming-demo/main_site/

## Introduction

Frame-level instrument recognition


- Predict the instrument labels in each time frame
- Pitch can help frame-level instrument recognition [3]

Why multitask learning?

- By sharing representations between different tasks, we can enable our model to generalize better on our original task
- Has been used successfully across many applications, such as computer vision,

(a) Pianoroll
(b) Instrument roll

(c) Pitch roll

Multi-pitch streaming

- Predict the instrument that plays each individual note event (multi-pitch streaming)
- Piano roll: representation for multi-pitch streaming NLP and speech recognition, but not so much on music


## Data

## Problem

- No big dataset with instrument and pitch labels

Musescore dataset:

- Collect more than 344,166 pieces of song from Musescore forum
- Paired mp3 and MIDI files
- Include variety of genre and 128 instruments
- Synthesized music (from variety of synthesizers)
- We process the MIDi files to pianoroll, multi-pitch labels and instrument frame labels

| Dataset | Pitch labels | Instrument <br> Labels | Real or Synth | Genre | Numbers of <br> songs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MedleyDB | $\Delta$ (partially) | $\checkmark$ | Real | Variety | 122 |
| MusicNet | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Real | Classic | 330 |
| Bach10 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Real | Classic | 10 |
| Mixing Secret |  | $\checkmark$ | Real | Variety | 258 |
| Musescore (in <br> this paper) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Synth | Variety | 344,166 |

Limitation:

- No singing voice
- Not realistic music


CQT



- Unet as the main model structure
- The encoder and decoder are composed of four residual blocks. Each residual block has three convolution/up-convolution, two batchNorm and two leakyReLU layers.
- Binary Cross Entropy between ground truth and predicted value
- Doing three tasks at the same time:
o Piano roll prediction
o Multi-pitch estimation
o Instrument activity detection

Instrument activity detection

## Result

| Method | Instrument | Pitch | Pianoroll |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{\text {roll }}$ only (ablated) | - | - | 0.623 |
| $L_{i}$ only (ablated) | 0.896 | - | - |
| $L_{p}$ only (ablated) | - | 0.799 | - |
| all (proposed) | 0.947 | 0.803 | 0.647 |


| Method | Training Set | Piano | Guitar | Violin | Cello | Flute | Avg |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[1]$ | YouTube-8M | 0.766 | 0.780 | 0.787 | 0.755 | 0.708 | 0.759 |
| $[2]$ | Training split of <br> 'MedleyDB+Mixing Secrets' | 0.733 | 0.783 | 0.857 | 0.860 | 0.851 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1 7}$ |
| $[3]$ | MuseScore training subset | 0.690 | 0.660 | 0.697 | 0.774 | 0.860 | 0.736 |
| Ours | MuseScore training subset | 0.718 | 0.819 | 0.682 | 0.812 | 0.961 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9 8}$ |

- Multitask learning is better than single task learning method
- Different methods but same testing set in [2]
- Testing set includes multi-instrument and singing voice
- F1-score of each instrument
- Compares favorably with [2]


## Future Work




- Using different synthesizers to augment our data
- Include singing voice into our model
- Increase instrument categories
- Music style transfer: change the latent vector $Z$ in a meaningful way so that the output score can be modified too
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